



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/733,442

12/12/2003

Daniel Maddux

244950US8

2328

22850

7590

08/19/2008

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, FOLASHADE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/19/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/733,442	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MADDUX, DANIEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> FOLASHADE ANDERSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Folashade Anderson (USPTO). (4) Bradley Lytle (Applicant's Attorney).

(2) Aldo Martinez (Applicant's Attorney). (5) Andre Boyce (USPTO).

(3) James Medlock (Inventor). (6) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Screen captures of the invention persented by the inventor.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sweitzer et al (US Patent 6,018,617) and Miele (US Patent 7,286,793 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants presented proposed changes to overcome the 35 USC 103 rejections. Applicants and the Examiners also discussed proposed amendments to the claims, and the Examiners indicated that further consideration and an updated search of the prior art would be necessary once the amendment has been submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andre Boyce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623	
--	--